

STEWARDS ACADEMY

Title	Whistleblowing Policy
Academic Year	September 2018
Originator	ECC
Status	Final
Version	1
Revision History	Reviewed July 2018 – Update for GDPR
	Reviewed and Agreed - S&P 15th October 2018
Pages:	8

Whistleblowing Policy

Summary of Changes - May 2018

No material changes to procedure.

6.	Data Protection	Insertion of new clause covering data protection.

The Model Whistleblowing Policy was originally created in 1989 (as part of the Code of Conduct policy) and this issue was released in:

May 2018

This issue: May 2018 Last issue: February 2018 First issue: 1989

Contents

1.	Introduction	4
2. 2.1. 2.2 2.3	Raising concerns/making a disclosure Initial concern Investigation	5 6
2.3 2.4	Outcome of the investigationFurther action	0
3. 3.1 3.2	Other issues Concerns raised by member of the public Criminal issues/fraud	7
4.	Protecting 'whistle-blowers'	7
5.	Malicious allegations/disclosures	8
6.	Data Protection	8

This issue: May 2018 Last issue: February 2018 First issue: 1989

e: February 2018

1. Introduction

It is important to the academy that any suspected fraud, misconduct, malpractice or wrongdoing by workers or employees of the academy is reported and properly dealt with. The academy is committed to creating an open and supportive environment where individuals feel able to "speak up" about any genuine concerns regarding the alleged wrongful conduct of the employer, or about the conduct of a fellow employee, or any third party.

This policy sets out the framework for how issues can be raised confidentially internally, and/or if necessary, outside the management structure of the academy to a prescribed body or other (see 2.1 below). All disclosures will be handled consistently and fairly, and appropriate action will be taken by the academy to resolve the issue in line with this policy.

This policy applies to all employees and Governors/Trustees of the academy. Volunteers and other individuals engaged to work at or provide services to the academy, including agency workers and contractors, are encouraged to use it where appropriate.

- **1.1.** The law* provides protection for employees or workers who raise legitimate concerns about specified matters. These are called "qualifying disclosures". A qualifying disclosure is one made in the public interest by an employee or worker who has a reasonable belief that there has been or is likely to be:
 - a breach of any legal obligation;
 - a miscarriage of justice;
 - a criminal offence;
 - a danger to the Health and Safety of any individual;
 - damage to the environment; or
 - deliberate concealment of information about any of the above

Some examples of qualifying disclosures in the academy context may include:

- Fraudulent acts (e.g. manipulation of accounting records/finances, inappropriate use
 of funds, decision making for personal gain, abuse of position to influence decisions);
- Breaches of acceptable professional and ethical standards;
- Breaches of the academy's Health and Safety policy entailing danger to staff or pupils; and/or
- Breaches of any of the academy's policies or the Code of Conduct.

It is not necessary for the employee or worker to have proof that such an act is being, has been, or is likely to be, committed - a reasonable belief is sufficient.

For the purposes of this policy the term "whistle-blower" refers to the individual making the disclosure.

1.2. The whistle-blower must reasonably believe they are making the disclosure in the public interest (i.e. it affects others such as pupils in the academy or members of the public). This

This issue: May 2018
Last issue: February 2018

Last issue: February 2018 First issue: 1989

means that personal grievances and complaints (e.g. a concern about their own contractual terms) are not usually covered by this policy and should be dealt with under the academy's Grievance Procedure.

2. Raising concerns/making a disclosure

2.1. Initial concern

The Governing Board encourages the "whistle-blower" to raise the matter internally in the first instance. Concerns should normally be raised initially with the whistle-blower's line manager. Where the concerns relate to the whistle-blower's line manager, the complaint should be brought to the attention of a more senior manager, responsible officer, the Headteacher or the Chair of Governors/Trustees.

If the whistle-blower considers the matter too serious or sensitive to raise it internally they may refer the matter to an external prescribed body. A prescribed body is an organisation, normally with some regulatory function (for example the Health and Safety Executive), which is prescribed by the Secretary of State for the purposes of the Act who an individual may make a protected disclosure to. Any such disclosure to a prescribed body will qualify for protection under the Act. A list of prescribed bodies is available at the following link:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/360648/bis-14-1077-blowing-the-whistle-to-a-prescribed-person-the-prescribed-persons-list-v4.pdf

In the event that the whistle-blower feels a disclosure should be referred to an external prescribed body some of the relevant bodies are also set out below:

Nature of disclosure:	External reporting/Prescribed body:
Fraud or financial	Education & Skills Funding Agency
malpractice (see 3.2	
below)	https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/education-and-skills-
	<u>funding-agency</u>
Child Protection/	Local Authority Designated Officer
Safeguarding issues	Essex Duty Line: 03330 139 797
	NSPCC Whistleblowing helpline: 0800 028 0285
	Email: help@nspcc.org.uk
Data protection issues	Information Commissioner
	https://ico.org.uk/
Health and Safety issues	Health and Safety Executive
	http://www.hse.gov.uk/

If a concern is raised verbally it should be followed up in writing wherever possible.

The whistle-blower has no responsibility for investigating the matter - it is the academy's responsibility to ensure that an appropriate investigation takes place.

This issue: May 2018 Last issue: February 2018

First issue: 1989

Where the complaint is serious, for example involving fraud, theft or other potential gross misconduct by an employee, the whistle-blower should act quickly to report it but should not mention it to the subject of the complainant or other colleagues as this could affect the investigatory process.

The timescales for handling disclosures will differ depending on the nature of the disclosure made but all disclosures (whether formal or informal) will be acknowledged within [2] working days.

2.2 Investigation

The line manager/manager/Governor will arrange an investigation into the matter either by investigating the matter him/herself or immediately passing the issue to an appropriate person (except where they are the subject of the disclosure where an alternative suitable person will be appointed). The investigation may involve the whistle-blower and other individuals involved giving a written statement. Any investigation will be carried out promptly and confidentially.

If a whistle-blower wishes to remain anonymous this should be raised with the line manager/manager/Governor to whom the initial disclosure is made. In some cases, this may be possible but in more serious cases where disciplinary action may have to be taken against others this may be more difficult. The academy is committed to protecting the well-being of the whistle-blower whilst this policy is followed.

The whistle-blower's statement (where available) will be taken into account, and he/she will be asked to comment on any additional evidence obtained. The person responsible for the investigation may ask the whistle-blower to attend a meeting to gather all the information needed to ensure a clear understanding of the situation.

Where a meeting is held, the whistle-blower may be accompanied by a trade union representative or work colleague if they wish and where possible the dates/times will be agreed to facilitate this.

2.3 Outcome of the investigation

The person who carried out the investigation will take any necessary action, which may include reporting the matter to the Headteacher/appropriate manager/Chair of Governor/Trustees or an appropriate prescribed body (if this has not already taken place).

On conclusion of any investigation, the whistle-blower will be told the outcome of the investigation (in as much detail as is deemed appropriate by the Headteacher/manager in the circumstances) and what action is to be taken or is proposed. If no action is to be taken, the reason for this will be explained.

Where a concern is raised anonymously the academy will not ordinarily be able to provide feedback to the whistle-blower and any action taken as a result of an anonymous disclosure may be limited. The academy will take all appropriate steps to investigate such a disclosure in line with the level of information provided. If an anonymous whistle-blower wishes to seek feedback from the academy an appropriate anonymised email address should be provided.

This issue: May 2018 Last issue: February 2018

First issue: February 2018

2.4 Further action

Where having raised an initial concern and the whistle-blower has a genuine belief that the academy has failed to take appropriate action or investigate the issue properly and they wish to pursue the matter further, they may report their concern to the Headteacher/Chair of Governors/Trustees or to an appropriate prescribed body (if this has not already been reported).

The Headteacher/Chair of Governors/Trustees may arrange for further investigation to be carried out, make any necessary further enquiries and/or make their own report. On the conclusion of any further investigation, they will take appropriate action which may include reporting the matter to a prescribed body if this has not taken place at an earlier stage in the process.

3. Other issues

3.1 Concerns raised by member of the public

Where complaints are received from members of the public, the academy's formal complaints procedure will be followed, unless the complaint relates to the specific conduct or performance of an individual employee/worker in which case the Disciplinary Procedure may need to be instigated.

3.2 Criminal issues/fraud

In the event of the allegation being of a very serious nature, for example relating to a fraud or other potential gross misconduct offence, there may be a need to involve the academy's auditors and/or the police or other appropriate authorities. This should normally be agreed initially by the Headteacher/Chair of Governors who should, in turn, and where appropriate, keep the Education and Academy Funding Agency informed in view of any possible implications concerning public monies.

The academy must notify the Secretary of State via the Education & Skills Funding Agency of any instances of fraud, theft or irregularity where the value exceeds £5,000 individually, or £5,000 cumulatively in any financial year. Any unusual or systematic fraud, regardless of value, must also be reported.

Advice may be sought from the academy's legal advisers before involving the police in any such internal complaint or allegation.

4. Protecting 'whistle-blowers'

- **4.1** Any whistle-blowers who make protected disclosures in line with this procedure have the right not to be dismissed, subjected to any other detriment, or victimised, because they have made a disclosure. This means continued employment and opportunities for promotion or training will not be affected because the whistle-blower has raised a legitimate concern.
- **4.2** Whistle-blowers should report any harassment or victimisation to an appropriate manager as soon as practicable. The academy will take all reasonable steps to prevent/address such harassment or victimisation. Victimisation of a whistle-blower for making a protected disclosure will be considered a disciplinary matter and will be dealt with under the academy's Disciplinary Procedure.

This issue: May 2018 Last issue: February 2018

First issue: 1989

4.3 Whistle-blowers may find the process of reporting an issue/wrongdoing difficult and uncomfortable. The academy will take all reasonable steps to support the whistle-blower which may include access to an external counselling service. The whistle-blower may also be referred to the charity Public Concern at Work www.pcaw.org.uk for information and advice.

5. Malicious allegations/disclosures

- **5.1** If, following appropriate investigation, it is considered that an employee has made a malicious allegation without real substance and/or which could not be reasonably considered to be in the public interest, this will be taken as a serious matter and may potentially lead to disciplinary action in line with the academy's Disciplinary Procedure.
- **5.2** Where other individuals engaged by the academy make a malicious allegation, the academy will investigate the allegation thoroughly and take appropriate action, which may include terminating the contract/arrangements with the individual.
- **5.3** If disciplinary action is required, the line manager (or the person who carried out the investigation) will report the matter to the Headteacher to start the disciplinary procedure.

6. Data Protection

6.1 When an individual makes a disclosure, the academy will process any personal data collected in accordance with its data protection policy. Data collected from the point at which the individual makes the disclosure is held securely and accessed by, and disclosed to, individuals only for the purposes of dealing with the disclosure.

This issue: May 2018 Last issue: February 2018

First issue: 1989